America First!
Published Monthly

The American Nationalist

Fight Communist Treason!

Vol. 3, No. 32 March, 1955 $3.00 Annually

UN ATTORNEY-GENERAL PROPOSED

U.S. Officials Let Red Agent Escape To Soviet Russia

SPECIAL—The story has been played down by the wire services, but one of the top functionaries of the U. S. Communist Party has been quietly permitted to escape behind the Iron Curtain in order to evade prosecution under the Smith Act. He is Irving Potash, a member of the original eleven-man "Secretariat of the American Communist Party—otherwise known as the "American Politburo—which was indicted for conspiracy to overthrow the U. S. government in 1949. Potash, who is a Russian-Jew, was convicted along with Eugene Dennis and ten other Politburo members in the now famous trial in Judge Harold Medina's court.

IRVING POTASH

As an outcome of that trial Potash and nine other co-defendants received five-year prison sentences, while the eleventh man was given a three-year term. Potash has now served his prison

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

"Second-Most Dangerous Spy" Turns Up In Moscow

SPECIAL—To almost no one's surprise, atomic scientist Bruno Pontecorvo turned up last month in Moscow as a propaganda exhibit. From there, he was quoted by press dispatches as stating he . . . "had quit the capitalist west because the preparations there to use atomic energy for military purposes made him ashamed of my profession'" . . .

PONTECORVO

Pontecorvo, who is usually de-

Continued: Page 2, Col. 2

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/24/00 BY 60267


Hearst Sees Stalin Daughter
★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★
Interviews Dictator's Kin in Moscow

SVETLANA (Stalin) KAGANOVICH VASSILY STALIN

Last month the son of the great Wm. Randolph Hearst journeyed to Moscow for a series of conferences with the leaders of Soviet Russia. Among those he interviewed was Svetlana Kaganovich, only daughter of the late Joseph Stalin. Willy Hearst, who can't tell a news story from a red carpet anyway, returned with a fatuous description of Svetlana's attire, her physical appearance, her children and even of her Moscow apartment. But he did not mention her husband, nor did he reveal to his readers that Svetlana Stalin, like her late father, has married into the powerful Jewish Kaganovich family . . .

Mystery Still Surrounds Identity Of Soviet Russia's Leadership

SPECIAL—It is doubtful if any political figure in recent history has had more written about him than the late Joseph Stalin, and yet there are important facets of his life which are virtually unknown in this country. The reason they are unknown does not stem from any lack of information, but is attributable solely to the fact that an iron censorship has been imposed on the subject. For Joseph Stalin, like Lenin before him, was married to a Jewish woman during all the years of his dictatorship. In a regime which has been totally Jewish from the very day of the Bolshevik Revolution, this is an extremely important fact. It explains why, as non-Jews, both Lenin and Stalin were able to come to power, and to remain in power.

But the Jewishness of Communism is a taboo subject not only in the Soviet Union, but in the United States as well. In Russia a government-imposed censorship conceals the racial identity of those who rule the Kremlin; in the United States organized Jewry has effectively smothered all intelligence which tends to reveal the relationship between Jews and Communism. By branding all such information as "anti-Semitic," American Jewry has in fact imposed a censorship over the American press and radio which is hardly less complete than that exercised in Soviet Russia.

Most Americans, of course, find it difficult to believe that such a censorship even exists. Nevertheless it is a fact that the Soviet regime is, and has always been, Jewish dominated and it is also a fact that no hint of that situation is ever allowed to reach the American public. For proof of that we need only cite the much publicized trip of Bill Hearst, Jr. and his staff to Moscow last month.

One of the highlights of that trip, as reported in the Hearst papers from coast to coast, was an interview with Svetlana Stalin, daughter of the late dictator. According to Hearst-man Kingsbury Smith, it was the first interview she had ever granted an American newspaperman.

(Continued on Page 4, Column 1)

NOT RECORDED
27 APR 20 1955

Jews Would Create Attorney-General Of United Nations

UNITED NATIONS—Sometime in 1955, if all goes according to schedule, the United Nations will revise its charter in accordance with the original U. N. charter, which specifies that such a revision shall take place after a ten-year interval.

In anticipation of this event the exponents of world government have for some time been feverishly drafting a series of schemes designed to transform the U. N. from an international debating society into a world state with authority over every member government and its citizens, including the United States.

Foremost among these, of course, is the plan to surrender all atomic weapons over to the United Nations, thereby giving that body a monopoly in the field of atomic warfare, and thus military hegemony over the entire world.

A second scheme calls for the subordination of our national sovereignty to the United Nations through the adoption of a series of treaties and "covenants," of which the "Genocide Treaty" and the "Human Rights Covenant" are the best known.

Because the "Genocide Treaty"

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

Pentagon Promotes Red Over McCarthy Protest

WASHINGTON, D. C. — There was a remarkable parallel between the Peress case and the discharge of Corporal Marvin Belsky from the Army last month. To begin with, both Belsky and Peress are New York Jews. Both are members of the medical profession — Belsky being a dentist, Peress an M.D. Both were called before the McCarthy Committee


MARVIN BELSKY

(Continued on Page 3, Column 3)

ERAL CONTROL OF EDUC



THE AMERICAN HEBREW Page 5

Uruguay Urges Post Of Attorney General For Human Rights At United Nations

UNITED NATIONS, N. Y. — Establishment of an office of Attorney General for Human Rights, empowered to receive and press complaints of human rights violations from any individual against a country was advocated before the General Assembly's Social, Humanitarian and Cultural Committee this week.

Dr. Francisco Gamarra, Chief Justice of Uruguay and head of his country's delegation to the General Assembly, called for approval of the Attorney General principle when he closed the committee's debate on the draft of a Human Rights Covenant.

His proposal called for such a UN official to receive complaints from individuals and organizations and to make preliminary investigation of alleged violations of the covenant. The Attorney General's first duty after investigation would be to attempt to find a solution by "conciliatory negotiations" with the governments concerned, Dr. Gamarra suggested. If these negotiations failed, he would be empowered to refer the complaints to the proposed human rights committee.

Dr. Gamarra said that since the proposed Attorney General would represent the international community rather than the alleged injured party the plan would avoid such controversial questions as whether an individual were subject to international law or whether a complaint were being made against a state for "ulterior motives."

In the above article the AMERICAN HEBREW describes the proposed plan for creating an "Attorney-General of the United Nations", which Jewish pressure groups hope to incorporate into the UN charter in 1955. Although the proposal was officially advanced by the chief of the Uruguayan delegation, the scheme is obviously of Jewish origin (see cut below). The idea is to put teeth into the Genocide Convention (already ratified by 44 nations) and the so-called Human Rights Covenant, both of which make "anti-Semitism" a crime. Incidentally, the term, "Genocide" was originated by the Jew, R. Lemkin, who authored the Genocide Convention.

U.N. Attorney

(Continued from Page One)

and the "Human Rights Covenant" would take precedence over the U. S. Constitution, they would in effect contravene the Bill of Rights by imposing drastic restrictions upon freedom of press and freedom of speech for all Americans. That's because the central purpose in both of these schemes is the abolition of "racial prejudice" and "discrimination" in any form. To insure this, these measures would outlaw the dissemination of all literature and reading material tending to "libel" minority racial groups, and would make it a crime to advocate doctrines which might bring "mental anguish" to racial minorities. Stripped to bare essentials this means that if the Genocide Treaty is ever adopted by the United States, it would become unlawful for any American citizen to speak or write critically of any Jewish group or organization, regardless of whether the criticism was justified or not.

A THREAT

The threat thus posed to our Constitutional liberties is not to be taken lightly. Forty-four nations have already ratified the Genocide Treaty, and there is unrelenting pressure to force the United States to ratify it.

In this connection it should be noted that although a majority of the U. N.'s membership is bound by the Genocide Treaty, there has been no attempt thus far to enforce it. One reason, of course, is that the one-world crowd has chosen to soft-pedal the issue until the United States can be made a party to the treaty; another is that no effective machinery exists for its enforcement. . . .

This brings us back to the charter revisions which are to take place this year, because among the contemplated changes is one which calls for setting up machinery to enforce the provisions of the Genocide Treaty. . . .

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This was dramatically revealed a short time ago when the United Nations convened a special "Social, Humanitarian and Cultural Committee" for the purpose of drafting proposed changes in the "Human Rights Covenant" in preparation for the 1955 charter overhaul. Probably the most significant proposal advanced at that conference was a Jewish-inspired plan for the creation of an "Attorney-General" of the United Nations, whose function it would be to prosecute individuals and/or organizations who violate the Human Rights Covenant and the Genocide Pact (see cut above).

The proposal was actually offered by the Uruguayan delegate to the General Assembly, Francisco Gamarra, but as the Jewish press has not hesitated to point out, the real inspiration for the idea came from Jewish sources.

JEWISH INTERESTS

It is important to note that the organization most active in the agitation for a U. N. "Attorney-General" is the American Jewish Committee, which works through an organization known as the "Consultative Council of Jewish Organizations." Spokesman for this organization is Moses Moskowitz, whose full time job is to lobby for "Jewish interests" at the United Nations.

In a recent article in the B'nai B'rith Messenger, syndicated columnist David Horowitz revealed that it was Moskowitz and his organization who . . . **"originally proposed the establishment of an office of a U. N. Attorney-General on Human Rights"** (see cut). Moskowitz was also quoted as saying that the Attorney-General idea is the **"key to an effective international protection of human rights."**

A HIGH CRIME

"We are interested," said Moskowitz, "in every move that strengthens the U. N.: we are concerned with international law; definition of aggression; the Code of Offenses Against Peace and Security of Mankind; criminal jurisdiction; international cooperation for economic and social advancement, etc. . . . Before the U. N. Human Rights program, and in particular the Covenant on Human Rights, can take on reality, you have to have the necessary elements that make for a strong international community."

Moskowitz's conception of a **"strong international community"** is, needless to say, a world government. And as a first step in that direction he and his organization are trying to set up a United Nations "Attorney-General" who would have authority to reach into any nation and indict one of its citizens for "offenses against humanity"—meaning, of course, any person or organization daring to criticize or oppose Jewry. For "anti-Semitism," under the so-called "Human Rights Covenant," would be a high crime. . . .

CONCLUSION: It is abundantly clear that organized Jewry will try hard in 1955 to see that the U. N. charter revisions are directed toward the establishment of a world government. And of all the schemes thus far proposed, the idea of creating an "Attorney-General" of the United Nations is the most insidious and the most dangerous. It is not, however, the only one to watch out for. The Baruch plan for turning our atomic weapons over to a United Nations army is almost as dangerous.

And so long as we remain in the United Nations, the possibility will always remain that some sneak maneuver will throw us into a world government before we know what has happened. That is why we believe that 1955 would be a good year not only to revise the U. N. charter, but for us to withdraw from that organization altogether. . . .



DR. MOSKOWITZ spoke with pride and enthusiasm when he referred to the fact that it was his organization that originally proposed the establishment of an office of a UN Attorney-General on Human Rights. "The idea of a UN attorney-general, which has been discussed in various UN bodies for the past four years and which will be a major issue in connection with the General Assembly's action on the Covenants of Human Rights in the next few years, is considered by many authorities as a major contribution to contemporary political thought. Proponents of the

In the above clipping, taken from a recent issue of the B'NAI B'RITH MESSENGER, the American Jewish Committee's Moses Moskowitz is quoted as saying: "that it was his organization that originally proposed the creation of a UN Attorney-General" . . .

Potash Free

(Continued from Page One)

stretch, as have most of the others, but now is subject to another Smith Act charge in connection with certain other of his Communist Party activities.

NO TRIAL

But unlike his co-defendants in the Medina case, Potash will not be brought to trial on those charges; instead he was given a visa to Communist Poland, where he is now safe from prosecution and free, incidentally, to continue his anti-American activities.

It would be interesting to know just who is responsible for allowing one of the most dangerous officials in the country not only to escape U. S. jurisdiction, but to actually flee to a Communist sanctuary where he will be in a position to bring further harm to this country. But since the deal was arranged jointly by the Justice Department and the Im
gration Service, it would ap
that someone in one
of those d
sib

In any event it is important to find out not only who is behind the move, but why they are behind it. It should be recalled that over 100 of the most dangerous Communist officials in the U. S. are either under sentence or indictment for violation of the Smith Act at the present time. Many of these, like Potash, are alien Jews*. And since they are of no use whatever

knowledge and experience in the U. S. could be utilized to the best advantage.

No matter how you look at it, the deal to allow Irving Potash to catch a boat to Poland has an odd twist to it. Common criminals are not as a rule allowed to escape punishment simply because they qualify as "undesirables;" the question naturally

FEDERAL AID TO EDUCATION MEANS FE

Matusow Case A Communist Ruse

Jewish Turncoat Witness Exposed As Red Plant

Shown above is Harvey Matusow, whom the Communist Party managed to plant on the Justice Department as a government witness. Matusow, a Brooklyn Jew who professed to repudiate Communism, was a voluntary government witness in a number of Smith Act trials which resulted in convictions for several Communist leaders. By retracting his testimony at a strategic moment, he has given the Communist Party its most potent propaganda victory of the year. The Party now claims its leadership has been convicted on perjured and framed evidence, and that, furthermore, the testimony of all former Communist witnesses is false. Meanwhile Matusow, who has written a book about his exploits, remains free despite his self-confessed perjury.

WASHINGTON—The Communist Party, in what appears to be one of its most successful propaganda coups in years, has planted a witness on the Justice Department. He is Harvey Matusow, a Brooklyn Jew who pretended to renounce the Communist Party in order to win the government's confidence. What is more, Matusow actually appeared as a government witness in several Smith Act cases, the most important of which involved the conviction of the 13 members of the "second-string politburo", early in 1953. Although Matusow apparently gave no information not already known to the FBI and the Justice Department (since the available evidence made their conviction almost a certainty anyway) his testimony did figure in the government's case against the defendants.

SMART MANEUVER

Matusow's role as a government witness placed him in an ideal spot because (as later developments proved) it gave him an opportunity to disavow his testimony at the psychological moment. That moment came last month when the 13 convicted Communist leaders appealed their case to the Supreme Court and were refused a review. Matusow promptly came forward with the claim that his own testimony had been perjured, and that he had been aided and coached in giving false testimony by the Justice Department. The idea, of course, was to give the defendants a new basis for demanding a re-trial—a maneuver which at the moment does not appear to have been successful.

But of even more importance in the long run will be the effect Matusow's treachery will have upon the credibility of future witnesses in cases of this sort. For years the Communist Party has carried on a venomous campaign of vilification and slander against former Communists who have become government witnesses, for it was on their testimony that many of the Smith Act convictions have been obtained.

COMMUNIST LINE

The Communist line in all such cases has been to cast doubt on the reliability, integrity and even the sanity of any such witness. Former Communists such as Elizabeth Bentley and Whittaker Chambers in particular have been targets for this type of smear attack. The Communist aim in all such cases has been to create doubt in the public mind, and especially in left-wing and liberal circles, as to whether any former Communist should be accepted as a witness.

PROFESSIONAL LIAR

In the case of Harvey Matusow they have brought their propaganda campaign to a pinnacle. Matusow, who willingly and enthusiastically testified against the Party until a short time ago, is now proclaiming far and wide that his testimony was perjured, and that in addition the testimony of all other former Communists is false. At one press conference he solemnly assured newspapermen that he became a "professional witness because it was a good racket." Three weeks later he told a Senate investigating Committee that Elizabeth Bentley had confided to him that some of her testimony was fabricated. On another occasion he appeared in Federal Court, where a motion for a re-trial of the 13 second-string Communist leaders was in process, to state that he had "dreamt up" false statements as a "selling point" for his services as a government witness. . . .

A RED VICTORY

Needless to say, the Communist and left-wing press has reported all this with considerable enthusiasm. And as a result of that publicity it is certain that the Communists have been at least partially successful in their campaign to discredit the reliability of all testimony given by former Communists, and in this they have won a considerable victory. That, of course, is why Matusow was planted on the Justice Department to begin with. . . .

SIDELIGHT: There has been considerable speculation in some quarters as to the motive behind Matusow's recantation. Rep. Francis Walters, chairman of the Un-American Activities Committee, has publicly stated that the Party planted Matusow on the government to discredit anti-Communist investigations. Senator Jenner and other experienced investigators have concurred in that opinion.

On the other hand there has been some conjecture among newspapermen that Matusow was inspired by commercial considerations, their contention being that his performance was meant to obtain publicity for a forthcoming book describing his experiences as a "professional government witness." But since such a book fits nicely into the Communist propaganda scheme anyway, and is in fact being widely advertised in the Communist newspapers, it is far more likely that the motive cited by Rep. Walters and Senator Jenner is the most plausible.

Incidentally, the National Jewish Post, in its Feb. 25th issue gave still another explanation for Matusow's behavior. Describing him as "a kid from the Bronx," the Post reported that Matusow was inspired by an inscription he saw on a New York synagogue. This, driveled the Post, "had a sudden crystallizing effect on his religious beliefs. . . ."

But whether the inspiration for his treachery came from a synagogue, or more directly from the Communist Party, one thing is certain; the next time a "former" Communist volunteers testimony for the Justice Department, they had better check his racial origin. A "former" Jew-Communist, like a "former" hunch-back, is extremely hard to find. . . .

Potash Free

Continued From Page 2

known enemy agent to obtain a visa under those conditions; neither, for that matter, would any country. Perhaps we should find out why our own authorities decided upon such a course. . . .

CONCLUSION: Congress should determine without delay what is behind this hush-hush maneuver to allow Potash to escape from U. S. jurisdiction while under indictment. The possibility that other similar deals are in the making should also be investigated. The proper place for these Communist criminals is in a federal penitentiary—not at a Commissar's desk behind the Iron Curtain. For our own protection these skilled, highly trained, and extremely dangerous agents should not be let out of the country.

Pontecorvo

scribed as "an Italian-born British scientist", is really neither British nor Italian, but Jewish. He skipped to Russia in 1950, taking with him a goodly share of Britain's hydrogen bomb secrets. He is regarded as the "second most deadly spy" ever to deflect to the Communists, Klaus Fuchs being rated number one in that category.

NOTE: It was the arrest of Fuchs by British authorities in 1949 which broke the famed Rosenberg spy case in this country in which nine Communist spies (eight of them Jewish) were subsequently convicted . . .

Belsky Discharge

and both took refuge in the Fifth Amendment. In each case McCarthy demanded they receive a dishonorable discharge and court martial; instead, both received promotions and honorable discharges.

Ironically, it was the clash between McCarthy and Army-Secretary Stevens over the Peress case which resulted in the bitter Army-McCarthy row of last year. The ultimate outcome of that procedure, of course, was the censure of McCarthy by the Senate later in the year . . .

It would appear, however, that neither Army-Secretary Stevens nor the Pentagon clique responsible for the Peress imbroglio have learned anything from the experience; since they have repeated their performance to the letter in the case of Marvin Belsky . . .

Hearst

Continued From Page 1

The Hearst interview, as reported by Kingsbury Smith, took place in Svetlana's . . . "small apartment in the center of Moscow." The article described her in great detail, from her hair ("cut short in a fluffy fashion") to her costume ("pink and beige pullover sweater, a brownish tweed skirt and good looking pumps") to her general appearance. which was described as . . . more Irish than Russian. . . ."

SLIGHT OVERSIGHT

There was a description of her apartment (it had a television set) and of her two children, and even of the plate containing . . . "oranges, apples and nuts" on her table. Conversation regarding her father and of her brother, Vassily Stalin, were dutifully reported. In all it was a rather detailed account. But nowhere in the article was mention made of her husband, nor was she referred to by her married name. The reason for that oversight, of course, is set forth in the opening paragraphs of this article. Because it so happens that Svetlana Stalin is married to the son of the most powerful Jew in all Russia, and his name is Mihail Kaganovich, son of First Deputy-Premier Lazar Kaganovich.

Kingsbury Smith and Bill Hearst, Jr. are both aware of that fact, and they are likewise cognizant of the fact that Stalin's third wife was also named Kaganovich. Rosa Stalin, only surviving wife of the dictator, is the sister of First Deputy-Premier Kaganovich. . . .

HEARST AFRAID

But these are facts which even the great Hearst press fears to print, just as it fears to print the truth about the racial identity of the U. S. Communist Party. That is why you may occasionally be given the superficial details concerning the rulers of Russia, but seldom if ever given the details concerning their racial origin—which in this case is the very key to the whole situation.

MYSTERY EXPLAINED

As a matter of fact much of the mystery surrounding the present leadership of the Soviet Union can be traced to the racial aspect. It is no accident that the world is being kept in darkness as to who the real rulers of Russia actually are; on the contrary it is part of a fundamental design. The outside world is not allowed to learn the identity of the Kremlin's real rulers for the simple reason that they are Jewish. There is no other reason why their identity should be kept secret, nor is there any other reasonable explanation.

MALENKOV

As for the recent fall of Premier Malenkov, which incidentally occurred during Hearst's visit to Moscow, readers of the AMERICAN NATIONALIST will not find the event particularly surprising. In the March 10th, 1953 issue of the AMERICAN NATIONALIST, shortly after the death of Stalin, we analyzed the situation in Russia as follows:

"It is altogether likely that Stalin's power has been inherited by a group of Party functionaries, rather than by any single individual," we wrote at the time. "Today Russia is ruled by a ten-man 'Presidium of the Central Committee of the Communist Party,' which has replaced the old Politburo. Five members of this group in turn comprise the 'Presidium of the Council of Ministers,' of which Malenkov is chairman. The other four deputy-chairmen of the Presidium are: Molotov, Kaganovich, Bulganin and Beria. These are the 'Big Five' of the Communist hierarchy. They probably do not wield equal power. The two senior members of the group, Molotov and Kaganovich, are 'Old Bolsheviks' who were closely associated with Stalin before the Revolution. Kaganovich (a Jew) is also a brother-in-law of Stalin, while Molotov (married to a Jewess) served with Stalin as co-editor of Pravda previous to 1917. Significantly, Malenkov is the youngest of the 'Big Five,' while Beria is but a year older. Both were in their teens at the time of the Revolution, and are regarded as members of the "New Generation." Bulganin joined the party in 1917.

"Molotov and Kaganovich, as the only 'Old Bolsheviks' on the Presidium, and because of their close association with Stalin, are undoubtedly the two most powerful men in Soviet Russia; a third or fourth member of the Presidium may or may not share their authority. In either case Malenkov looks more like a puppet than a Dictator. . . ."

Thus did we analyze the situation in Russia in March of 1953, shortly after Stalin's demise, and at a time when Malenkov was being widely hailed as the new Russian dictator—and when Beria was regarded as the number-two man in all of Russia.

As events later proved, Malenkov did turn out to be a puppet, while Beria—who incidentally was half-Jewish—never got off of first base in the game for power.

STILL DICTATOR

In our estimation this means that from their positions as First Deputy-Premiers of the Soviet Union, Molotov and Kaganovich are still the real power in Soviet Russia. Bulganin, the only other member of the original Presidium, has been elevated to the figure-head position of Premier—the spot held until recently by Malenkov. It is possible, and even probable, that a third man in the person of Khrushchev may share their authority, but in the last analysis it is the two "Old Bolsheviks"—Molotov and Kaganovich—who are to be reckoned with....

CONCLUSION: As frequently emphasized by the AMERICAN NATIONALIST, it is virtually impossible to understand or evaluate Communism except as a Jewish-led movement. This means, among other things, that whoever wields power in Soviet Russia will be Jewish, or possibly a person married to a Jewess and therefore regarded as trustworthy—as were both Lenin and Stalin. In no other way can the vast Jewish bureaucracy of Russia be controlled.

In this connection it should be noted that at the time of the Bolshevik Revolution in 1917 the Jewish population of Moscow was less than 20,000, since their residence there was drastically restricted by the Czarist government. But today, by contrast, 500,000 Jews reside in Moscow (as reported in the New York Times and elsewhere) and it is from this vast Jewish reservoir that the higher echelons of the Soviet bureaucracy are recruited; these are the rulers and masters of Russia. And it is a foregone conclusion that no non-Jew will wield power over them. That is why we believe that Molotov and Kaganovich, as representatives of Jewry, and as the ranking members of the Presidium, are the true rulers of Russia today.

Press Report

SPECIAL—You should write your Congressman about this one: In 1950 Congress passed a law making it mandatory that all Communist material sent through the mails should bear the endorsement: "Disseminated by a Communist Organization". Although that law was passed five years ago, the Postal Department for some strange reason has not enforced it. This despite the fact that virtually all Communist propaganda is mailed under second and third class permits at a loss to the Post Office. It is estimated that three Communist newspapers alone (DAILY WORKER, MORNING FREIHEIT, PEOPLE'S WORLD) mail out nine million pieces of propaganda annually. That's forty-five million pieces since 1950—all of it subsidized by the Postal Department. And now the postal people are asking Congress for increased postage rates for everybody—because they say they are losing money on their second and third class mail . . .

WASHINGTON, D.C.—Our kept press has not reported on it, but on March 5th some 600 delegates representing the 16 major Jewish organizations in America convened in Washington, D.C. Describing it as . . . "a conference of delegates . . . reflecting every important phase of Jewish communal life", the B'nai B'rith Messenger stated that: "It will mark the first meeting of top American leadership since Israel gained her independence nearly seven years ago" . . . Purpose of the conference is to mobilize U. S. Jewry for the growing crisis between Israel and the Arab countries . . . (NOTE: This could be the tip-off for a renewed Jewish war of aggression against the Arab nations. Despite Jewish propaganda to the contrary, Israel is the only state in the Middle East capable of waging such a war).

WASHINGTON, D.C.—The Jewish-led organization which calls itself the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (N.A.A.C.P.) formally demanded this month that the Federal Government compel the Southern states to accept Negroes into National Guard units so that "thousands of Negro young men" could be "utilized for national defense" . . .

LOS ANGELES—The B'nai B'rith Messenger reports that the Yiddish racketeer and newspaper publisher, Hank Greenspun, recently delivered a speech before the Sinai Temple in Los Angeles on the topic of "Demagogues and Democracy". Greenspun was indicted by a Federal grand jury last year for publishing material tending to . . . "incite the murder or assassination" of Senator Joseph McCarthy.

WASHINGTON—Since World War II a total of eleven Communist spies—ten of them Jewish—have been convicted in this country on espionage charges. Last month one of the eleven, Judith Coplin, learned that she will never have to serve her sentence. Judith was originally convicted in 1949 for passing government secrets to a Communist official at the UN. On a technicality her ten-year sentence was set aside. Now the Justice Department has decided against bringing her to trial again.

THE AMERICAN NATIONALIST

Box 301, Inglewood, Calif.

FRANK L. BRITTON, Editor

☐ One year $3.00
☐ Two years $5.00

Packets of the American Nationalist may be purchased at following rates: Packet of 12 for $1.00; Packet of 30 for $2.00; Packet of 100 for $5.00.

Support the Bricker Amendment!